1 2 3	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP David Boies (admitted pro hac vice) 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Tel.: (914) 749-8200	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. Bill Carmody (admitted pro hac vice) Shawn J. Rabin (admitted pro hac vice) Steven M. Shepard (admitted pro hac vice) Alexander Frawley (admitted pro hac vice)				
4	dboies@bsfllp.com	Ryan Sila (admitted pro hac vice) One Manhattan West, 50 th Floor				
5	Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027	New York, NY 10001				
6	44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	Tel.: (212) 336-8330 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com				
7	Tel.: (415) 293-6800	srabin@susmangodfrey.com sshepard@susmangodfrey.com				
8	mmao@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com	afrawley@susmangodfrey.com rsila@susmangodfrey.com				
9	James Lee (admitted pro hac vice)	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891				
10	Rossana Baeza (admitted pro hac vice) 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor	1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067				
11	Miami, FL 33131 Tel.: (305) 539-8400	Tel.: (310) 789-3100 abonn@susmangodfrey.com				
12	jlee@bsfllp.com rbaeza@bsfllp.com	MORGAN & MORGAN				
13	Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334	John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice) Ryan J. McGee (admitted pro hac vice)				
14	M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520	Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805				
15	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (213) 995-5720	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602				
16	alanderson@bsfllp.com	Tel.: (813) 223-5505 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com				
17	mwright@bsfllp.com	rmcgee@forthepeople.com				
18	mram@forthepeople.com					
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
20	ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, SAL	Case No.: 3:20-cv-04688-RS				
21	CATALDO, JULIAN					
22	SANTIAGO, and SUSAN LYNN HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all	PLAINTIFFS' PARTIAL OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE'S MOTION TO SEAL				
23	others similarly situated,	(DKT. 408)				
24	Plaintiffs,	The Honorable Richard Seeborg Courtroom 3 – 17th Floor				
25	VS.	Action Filed: July 14, 2020				
26	GOOGLE LLC, Defendant.	Trial Date: February 10, 2025				
27						
28						

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On June 28, 2024, Google requested to seal portions of the parties' summary judgment briefing. Dkt. 408. Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(f)(4), Plaintiffs now respond to Google's request.

Plaintiffs oppose just one part of Google's request: the request to seal a sentence in Exhibit 34 to Plaintiffs' summary judgment opposition. *See* Dkt. 397-9 (unredacted copy of Exhibit 34). Exhibit 34 is a document that Google produced (with Bates label GOOG-RDGZ-00033245) in which Google employees discuss issues related to app activity data and WAA-off data.

According to its sealing motion, Google seeks to "redact a single line [of Exhibit 34] that contains sensitive information related to government requests, along with employee email addresses." Dkt. 408 at 5. Plaintiffs do not oppose Google's request to redact employee email addresses. Plaintiffs oppose only Google's request to redact that "single line" regarding "government requests." *Id*.

This line that Google seeks to redact—and therefore make unavailable to the public, including class members—is highly relevant to the claims and defenses in this case, including because it undermines Google's argument that (s)WAA-off data is "logged with random number identifiers that cannot be joined with any person." Dkt. 383 at 19 (Google's MSJ). The line illustrates how (s)WAA-off data is highly identifying, especially because Google's supposed "pseudonymous" data is still collected and logged using numerous Google-issued identifiers, wholly managed and controlled by Google. See Dkt. 397-1 at 1, 6, 15-16. Notably, Google does not seek to redact another portion of Exhibit 34 which explains how Google can "link app events collected by GA4F [Google Analytics for Firebase] to GAIA ID [the "Google Account" identifier] even if end users turn off WAA." Dkt. 397-9 (emphasis added). That statement alone creates triable issues of fact about the nature of the at-issue data, and in Plaintiffs' view, the statement refutes Google's argument that (s)WAA-off data is not associated with "personal information," a term Google defines to include information that "can be reasonably linked" to "your Google account." Dkt. 383 at 16-17, 21. The statement is also relevant to why there is a triable issue of fact as to whether a reasonable user would expect her "Google Account" to contain all data associated with Google identifiers. See Dkt. 397-1 at 1, 6, 15-16.

The line that Google seeks to redact then goes a step further than the unsealed admission

Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 410 Filed 07/02/24 Page 3 of 5

by discussing what would happen in the context of a "government request." Dkt. 408 at 5. Reflecting on this topic, a Google employee admits (in the unredacted portion of Exhibit 34) that (s)WAA-off data "break[s] user expectations." Dkt. 397-9. Plaintiffs cannot say more without revealing the substance of the material that Google seeks to keep under seal.

"A party seeking to seal a document filed with the court must (1) comply with [the relevant rules]; and (2) rebut 'a strong presumption in favor of access' that applies to all documents other than grand jury transcripts or pre-indictment warrant materials." *Thomas v. MagnaChip Semiconductor Corp.*, 2017 WL 4750628, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 20, 2017) (citing *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)). In the class action context, Google must meet the "compelling reasons" standard. *See In re Google Inc. Gmail Litig.*, 2014 WL 10537440, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2016).

Google identifies no legitimate basis to seal the sentence in Exhibit 34, certainly no compelling reason. Contrary to Google's assertion, this evidence would "assist the public in understanding this lawsuit," Dkt. 408 at 5, including because it is relevant to the parties' factual dispute over whether (s)WAA-off data is identifying. There is no reason for Google to unseal one admission in Exhibit 34 but redact the other admission. Moreover, in a certified class action—with a pending motion for summary judgment—Google should not be allowed to withhold from class members and the public evidence that refutes its characterization of the at-issue data, particularly when Google's principal argument is that class members consented to the at-issue practices.

Aside from Exhibit 34, Plaintiffs take no position on Google's request to seal.

Dated: July 2, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Mark Mao

Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com

Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)

brichardson@bsfllp.com

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 293 6858

	Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS	Document 410	Filed 07/02/24	Page 4 of 5			
1	Facsimile (415) 999 9695						
1	David Boies (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)						
2	dboies@bsfllp.com						
3			OIES SCHILLER F 3 Main Street	FLEXNER LLP			
4			rmonk, NY 10504				
5		Te	elephone: (914) 749	0-8200			
6			mes Lee (admitted) ee@bsfllp.com	pro hac vice)			
7			ossana Baeza (admi	tted <i>pro hac vice</i>)			
		rb	aeza@bsfllp.com	-			
8			OIES SCHILLER F 00 SE 2 nd Street, Su:				
9			iami, FL 33131	ne 2000			
10			elephone: (305) 539	-8400			
		Fa	csimile: (305) 539-	1307			
11		Δ1	ison I Anderson (CA Bar No. 275334			
12			anderson@bsfllp.co				
13		M	. Logan Wright, CA	A Bar No. 349004			
13			wright@bsfllp.com				
14			OIES SCHILLER F 29 Century Park Ea				
15			os Angeles, CA 900				
16			elephone: (813) 482				
			ll Carmody (<i>pro ha</i>	,			
17			armody@susmange	5			
18			nawn J. Rabin (<i>pro l</i> abin@susmangodfr	,			
19			even Shepard (<i>pro</i> l				
19		SS	hepard@susmango	dfrey.com			
20			lexander P. Frawley				
21			rawley@susmango yan Sila	dfrey.com			
		•	ila@susmangodfrey	v.com			
22			JSMAN GODFRE	_			
23			ne Manhattan West				
24			ew York, NY 1000 elephone: (212) 336				
			(212) 33 o				
25			manda Bonn (CA B	,			
26			onn@susmangodfr JSMAN GODFRE	•			
27			000 Avenue of the S				
			os Angeles, CA 900				
28		Te	elephone: (310) 789	-3100			
	PLAINTIEFS' PARTIAL OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE'S MOTION TO SEAL						

	Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS	Document 410	Filed 07/02/24	Page 5 of 5	
1					
1			ohn A. Yanchunis (<i>p</i> anchunis@forthepe		
2		R	yan J. McGee (pro	hac vice)	
3			ncgee@forthepeopl lichael F. Ram (CA		
4		m	ram@forthepeople.	com	
5			IORGAN & MORC 11 N Franklin Stree		
6			ampa, FL 33602 elephone: (813) 223	5505	
7			acsimile: (813) 222-		
8		Ai	ttorneys for Plainti <u>f</u>	<i>fs</i>	
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			1		
			4		